



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/064,001

06/03/2002

Yinghui Dan

MONS:130US

7199

73905

7590

08/04/2009

SONNENSCHN NATH & ROSENTHAL LLP

P.O. BOX 061080

SOUTH WACKER DRIVE STATION, WILLIS TOWER

CHICAGO, IL 60606

EXAMINER

ROBINSON, KEITH O NEAL

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1638

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

08/04/2009

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/064,001	Applicant(s) DAN ET AL.	
	Examiner KEITH O. ROBINSON	Art Unit 1638	

All Participants:

(1) KEITH O. ROBINSON.

(2) RON LABY.

Date of Interview: 31 July 2009

Status of Application: PROSECUTION RE-OPENED

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: 12:30 p.m. EST

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

None

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Keith O. Robinson/
/David H Kruse/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1638

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner spoke with Mr. Laby regarding the re-opening of the prosecution of the pending application. The Examiner has re-opened the prosecution and the finality of the last Office action, mailed February 3, 2009, has been withdrawn. In view of the re-opening of the prosecution, the deadline for response to the action mailed February 3, 2009 has been vacated.